

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/457,003	12/08/1999	EDWARD HO	169.1327-CIP	7190
5514 7.	590 10/17/2003		EXAM	INER
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			DESIRE, GREGORY M	
NEW YORK,			ART UNIT PAPER N 2625	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	11.
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2003	, 14

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/457,003	HO ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gregory M. Desire	2625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appo	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress
THE REPLY FILED 11 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distautory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate exemples. The appropriate exemples in the final Office action; or	See MPEP te extension fee ttension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje	ction(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does N	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: <u>39-41</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>31-38 and 42-69</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) □ approved or b) □ disap	proved by the Exar	miner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other:	· · · · ·	A 11d	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000 aper No. 14

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/457,003



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment adding selecting a color distribution model require further search and consideration by the examiner..